

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,963	02/21/2	2002	Jon Geist	5115	
75	90	07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Jon Geist				DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN	
4410 Winding Oak Drive Olney, MD 20832			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				1765	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,963	GEIST, JON	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	7
	DuyVu n Deo	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	) Δnril 2004		;
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
	, p		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicatio</li> </ul>	<b>n.</b> :		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	inor		
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2002 is/		ected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
60-1-4			• ;
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a I		eived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the certified copies flot rec	civeu.	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-4.13)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	08) 5) ∐ Notice of Inform 6) ☐ Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
· apoi rio(o)/mail balo	<u></u> .		

Application/Control Number: 10/081,963

Art Unit: 1765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al. (US 5,607,718).

Sasaki describes an etching solution by mixing: a colloidal suspension of SiO2, which forms silicate ions, with TMAH, and water (col. 3, line 16-17; col. 5, line 43-45; col. 10, line 16-26; col. 11, line 5; col. 15, line 62).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 193(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Lin (US 6,315,635).

Unlike claimed invention Sasaki doesn't describe heating the etchant to a T substantially above the room T. Lin describes an etchant for polishing where he teaches heating the T of the etchant above 30 degrees Celsius (claimed substantially above room T) (col. 8, line 11-17). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Sasaki's etchant in light of Lin

Application/Control Number: 10/081,963

Art Unit: 1765

because Lin teaches that raising the T of the etchant would enhance the overall polishing rate and improve uniformity of polishing across the substrate surface (col. 55-60).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4-9 are allowable because applied prior art doesn't suggest or teach of addition of an oxidizer into the etchant at a time later than 10 minutes following immersion of sample comprised of silicon in the etchant.

### Election/Restrictions

- 6. Applicant's election of claims 1-9 in the reply filed on 4/20/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DuyVu n Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30; with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,963

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DVD 6/29/04

90